UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	V. Justin Emil Lindahl Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00202-RJJ			
	er conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 1 endant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings of	Fact			
_	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is				
_	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for			
_	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or I	ife imprisonment.			
_	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years				
_	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
_	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	a minor victim				
	the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon			
	he offense described in finding (1) was committed while the derivation of the described in finding (1) was committed while the described in the described in finding (1) was committed while the described in the de	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
	period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of the described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
	indings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that erson or the community. I further find that defendant has not				
·	Alternative Finding	·			
(1) T	here is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com				
(`,	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is				
_	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	.*			
(O) T	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	6 P (4) (1 () PP () PP () PP ()			
	he defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by ill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the saf				
,	Alternative Finding	s (B)			
` '	here is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
(2) T	here is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	ety of another person or the community.			
	Part II – Statement of the Reason	ons for Detention			
l fin evidence	d that the testimony and information submitted at the detentio a preponderance of the evidence that:	n hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing			
	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deter	ntion at this time.			
2. Defenda	ant has been in state custody and would not be released in ar	ny case.			
3. Defenda	ant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cour	t's attention should his circumstances change.			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	November 2, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	